UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	V
BUILDING SERVICE 32BJ SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT AND SAVINGS PLAN,	21-cv-4667
Plaintiff,	21 01 1001
-against-	
JONES LANG LASALLE AMERICAS, INC.,	COMPLAINT
Defendant.	X
Building Service 32BJ Supplemental Retirement and Savings Plan, (the "Fund"), as and	

# NATURE OF ACTION

for its Complaint against Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc. ("Defendant") respectfully alleges as

follows:

1. This is a civil action brought pursuant to Sections 502(a)(3) and 515 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. §§ 1132(a)(3), 1145), (hereinafter referred to as "ERISA") and Section 301 of the Labor-Management Relations Act of 1947 (29 U.S.C. § 185) (hereinafter referred to as the "Taft-Hartley Act"), by an employee retirement fund for contractual and other equitable relief under ERISA to secure performance by an employer of specific statutory and contractual obligations to pay the required contributions to the Fund by electronic transfer, as per the contractual and statutory requirements. This Complaint alleges that by failing, refusing or neglecting to pay and submit the required monetary contributions when due as per the Fund's rules and regulations, Defendant is in breach of ERISA, the collective bargaining agreements, the Fund's respective Declarations of Trust, and the Fund's rules and regulations.

## **JURISDICTION**

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under the following statutes:
  - (a) Section 502(e)(1) and (f) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1) and (f);
  - (b) Section 301 of the Taft-Hartley Act (29 U.S.C. Section 185);
  - (c) 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 (federal question); and
  - (d) 28 U.S.C. Section 1337 (civil actions arising under an Act of Congress regulating commerce).

#### **VENUE**

3. Venue properly lies in this district under Section 502(e)(2) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132 (e)(2)). Service of process may be made on Defendant in any other district in which it may be found, pursuant to Section 502(e)(2) of E.R.I.S.A. (29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2)).

## **PARTIES**

4. The Fund is a jointly-administered, multi-employer, labor-management trust fund established and maintained pursuant to various collective bargaining agreements in accordance with Section 302(c)(5) of the Taft-Hartley Act (29 U.S.C. § 186(c)(5)). The Fund is an employee benefit plan within the meaning of Sections 3(2), 3(3) and 502(d)(1) of E.R.I.S.A. (29 U.S.C. § 1002(2),(3), and 1132(d)(1)), and multi-employer plan within the meaning of Sections 3(37) and 515 of E.R.I.S.A. (29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(37) and 1145). The Fund is authorized to maintain suit as an independent legal entity under Section 502(d)(1) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132(d)(1)). The purpose of the Fund is, *inter alia*, to receive contributions from employers who are parties to collective bargaining agreements with Service Employees International Union, Local 32BJ ("Union"), to invest and maintain those monies, and to distribute retirement benefits to those employees eligible to receive them. The Fund maintains its offices and is administered at 25 West 18th Street, New York, New York 10011, in the City, County, and State of New York.

- 5. The Union is a labor organization within the meaning of Section 301 of the Taft-Hartley Act (29 U.S.C. § 185) which represents employees in an industry affecting commerce as defined in Section 501 of the Taft-Hartley Act (29 U.S.C. § 142) and Section 3(4) of E.R.I.S.A. (29 U.S.C. § 1002(4)). The Union maintains its offices and is administered at 25 West 18<sup>th</sup> Street, New York, New York 10011, in the City, County, and State of New York.
- 6. Upon information and belief, at all times material hereto Jones Lang LaSalle Americas, Inc. was and continues to be a Pennsylvania for profit corporation having a principal place of business at 201 East Randolph Drive, Chicago, IL 60601, and doing business as an employer within the meaning of Sections 3(5) and 515 of E.R.I.S.A. (29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(5) and 1145), and was and is an employer in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 301 of the Taft-Hartley Act (29 U.S.C. § 185).
- 7. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant Defendant was and is party to a series of collective bargaining agreements (the "Agreements") with the Union. As part of the Agreements, Defendant agreed to make benefit contributions to the Fund on behalf of employees covered by the Agreement, and to follow the Fund's respective Declarations of Trust and collections policies. The Agreements, Fund's Declarations of Trust and Fund's collections policies require that employers also upload employee information into ESS, and then make the payments associated with this employee information. Employer self-reporting is the only way that the Fund is able to determine what contributions are owed, and for whom, on a going forward basis. To confirm the accuracy of this self-reporting, the Fund also performs payroll compliance audits of employers.
- 8. The Fund commenced a payroll compliance audit of Defendant for the time period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017.

# AS AND FOR A FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (FUND'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT UNDER SECTION 301)

- 9. The Fund repeats and realleges each allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 8 as if fully set forth herein.
- 10. Pursuant to the Agreement, there became due and owing to the Funds from Defendant, benefit contributions from January 1, 2015 through the date of this filing.
- 11. For the period January 1, 2015 through the date of this filing, Defendant failed to pay the Fund no less than \$22,413.84 in required contributions in violation of the Agreement. This amount is calculated based on (1) audit findings for the time period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2017, and (2) Employer reporting in ESS through from December 1, 2020 through the date of this filing ("ESS Reporting").
- 12. The failure, refusal or neglect of Defendant to make the required contributions to Plaintiff Fund constitutes a violation of the Agreements between Defendant and the Union with respect to the Fund as a third-party beneficiary.
- 13. Further, for many contributions that were ultimately paid by Defendant, these payments were made late, and Defendant is liable to the Fund for interest payments as per the Agreement, the Fund's Declaration of Trust, and the Fund's collection policies.
- 14. Accordingly, Defendant is liable to the Fund for benefit contributions in the amounts due for the periods set forth above, plus such further amounts as may become due from the present date to the date judgment is issued and that remain unpaid by Defendant, liquidated damages, plus interest, the Fund's attorney's fees, audit costs, and court costs incurred in collecting the delinquent contributions.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (FUND'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF ERISA)

- 15. The Fund repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 14 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. Section 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1145) requires employers to pay benefit contributions in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Agreements.
- 17. Upon information and belief, at all times material hereto, Defendant failed to pay or timely pay and/or remit the required monetary contributions and/or reports to the Fund when due. Such failure to make payment or timely payment and/or remit reports constitutes a violation of Section 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1145).
- 18. Section 502 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132) provides that, upon a finding of an employer violation of Section 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1145), the Court shall award to Plaintiff the unpaid benefit contributions, plus statutory damages (liquidated damages) and interest on the unpaid principal both computed at the rate provided for under the Fund's plans or, if none, as set forth in the United States Internal Revenue Code (29 U.S.C. § 6621), together with reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and distributions incurred in the action.
- 19. Pursuant to the Agreement, there became due and owing to the Fund from Defendant benefit contributions from January 1, 2015 through the date of this filing.
- 20. For the period January 1, 2015 through the date of this filing, Defendant failed to pay the Funds no less than \$22,413.84 in required contributions, in violation of the Agreement. This amount is calculated based on (1) audit findings for the time period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2017, and (2) Employer reporting in ESS through from December 1, 2020 through the date of this filing.
  - 21. Further, for additional benefit contributions based on employer self-reporting to

Case 1:21-cv-04667 Document 1 Filed 05/25/21 Page 6 of 7

the Fund, several benefit contributions were untimely made, thereby rendering Defendant

liable for interest on the late principal as per the Agreements.

22. Accordingly, Defendant is liable to the Fund for the payment and/or submission

of the required monetary contributions to the Fund as aforesaid, and is liable for the additional

amount of said statutory damages, liquidated damages, together with reasonable attorneys' fees,

interest on the unpaid principal, audit costs, costs and disbursements incurred in this action,

pursuant to Section 502 of E.R.I.S.A. (29 U.S.C. § 1132).

WHEREFORE, plaintiff Funds demand judgment:

a. against Defendant for payment of all past due contributions to date, in an amount of

not less than \$22,413.84.

b. against Defendant for payment of all contributions which become due during the

pendency of this action in accordance with ERISA § 502(g)(2) and the Agreement,

c. against Defendant for accrued prejudgment interest on all contributions, including

those untimely made prior to filing of the present suit, in accordance with ERISA § 502 (g)(2)

and the Agreement,

d. against Defendant for statutory damages on all contributions now due and which

accrue during the pendency of this action, liquidated damages, audit costs, reasonable attorneys'

fees and costs and disbursements in accordance with E.R.I.S.A. § 502(g)(2) and the Agreement;

e. for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated:

Fort Lee, New Jersey

May 25, 2021

RAAB, STURM & GANCHROW, LLP

Bv:

Samuel R. Bloom (SB1988)

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fund

6

2125 Center Avenue Suite 100 Fort Lee, New Jersey (Tel) 201-292-0150 (Fax) 201-292-0152